

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 12 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

In re application of: Nassiri, Nicholas

Title: Certified and Electronic Mail System

Application/Control Number: 09/982,145

Art Unit: 2153

Filing Date: 10/17/2001

Examiner: LaShayna R. Nash

Total Number of pages: 9

VIA FACSIMILE AND US FIRST CLASS MAIL

I, Nick Nassiri, hereby certify that this correspondence, in addition to being faxed, is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as registered mail to:

Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

On July 11, 2006



Nick Nassiri (ml)

JUL 12 2006

**RESPONSE TO EXAMINER'S OFFICE ACTION**

Inventor Nick Nassiri (hereinafter referred to as the "Applicant") is in receipt of the Examiner's Detailed Action dated April 14<sup>th</sup>, 2006 with respect to the above referenced patent application. Applicant wishes to address each of the Examiner's objections as put forth in the Detailed Action.

In lieu of Examiner's objections, Applicant hereby elects to cancel claims 1 through 45 inclusive, and claim 49.

In lieu of Examiner's comments regarding allowable matter, Applicant hereby amends claims 46 through 48 inclusive to put into independent form.

In lieu of Examiner's comments<sup>1</sup> regarding Applicant's earlier arguments regarding the issue of Sykes' failing to disclose a method whereby the contents of an email are verified. Examiner states that Applicant has failed to include the

---

<sup>1</sup> Page 4, paragraph 2, Examiner states " In considering (II) Applicant contends that Sykes does not verify the contents of an email. However, it is noted that features upon which Applicant relies (i.e. verify contents of an email) are not recited in the rejected claims. ...

Therefore, the Examiner maintains that the Sykes discloses verifying an email that is consistent with the verification limitations as recited in the claim language of instant application. As a result, Examiner maintains the rejections of the claims, as set forth in the office action. Office Action, dated April 14, 2006, page 4, paragraph 2.

limitations in the recited claims. Applicant agrees with Examiner's position.

Applicant has further amended the claims, to add new claims 50 through 53 to the pending application. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider her position in light of the newly appended claims. Applicant is further mindful of the previous limitations based on the prior art.